

## CONCRETE MASONRY FENCE DESIGN

**TEK 14-16B**  
Structural (2007)

**Keywords:** cantilevered wall, coping, fence, footing, lateral loads, reinforced masonry, seismic loads, Seismic Design Category (SDC), serpentine fence, strength design, wind loads

### INTRODUCTION

Concrete masonry fences and garden walls are used to fulfill a host of functions, including privacy and screening, security and protection, ornamentation, sound insulation, shade and wind protection.

In addition, concrete masonry provides superior durability, design flexibility and economy. The wide range of masonry colors and textures can be used to complement adjacent architectural styles or blend with the natural landscape.


Because fences are subjected to outdoor exposure on both sides, selection of appropriate materials, proper structural design and quality workmanship are critical to maximize their durability and performance.

### STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Masonry fences are generally designed using one of five methods:

- (a) as cantilevered walls supported by continuous footings;
- (b) as walls spanning between pilasters, that are, in turn, supported by a footing pad or caisson;
- (c) as walls spanning between wall returns that are sufficient to support the wall;
- (d) as curved walls with an arc-to-chord relationship that provides stability; or
- (e) as a combination of the above methods.

This TEK covers cases (a) and (d) above, based on the provisions of the 2003 and 2006 editions of the *International Building Code* (refs. 1, 2). Although fences up to 6 ft (1,829 mm) high do not require a permit (refs. 1 and 2, Ch.1), this TEK provides guidance on design and construction recommendations. Fences designed as walls spanning between pilasters (case b) are covered in TEK 14-15B, *Allowable Stress Design of Pier and Panel Highway Sound Barrier Walls* (ref. 3). In



**Figure 1—Typical Construction Requirements for a Cantilevered Fence**

addition, fences can be constructed by dry-stacking and surface bonding conventional concrete masonry units (see ref. 4), or by utilizing proprietary dry-stack fence systems.

### CANTILEVERED FENCE STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Tables 1, 2 and 3 provide wall thickness and vertical reinforcement requirements for cantilevered walls for three lateral load cases: lateral load,  $w \leq 15$  psf (0.71 kPa),  $15 < w \leq 20$  psf (0.95 kPa), and  $20 < w \leq 25$  psf (1.19 kPa), respectively. For each table, footnote A describes the corresponding wind and seismic conditions corresponding to the lateral load, based on *Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures*, ASCE 7 (ref. 5).

Assumptions used to develop Tables 1, 2 and 3 are:

1. strength design method
2. except as noted, designs comply with both the 2003 and 2006 *International Building Code*,
3. running bond masonry,
4. ASTM C 90 (ref. 6) concrete masonry units,
5. specified compressive strength of masonry,  $f'_m = 1,500$  psi (10.3 MPa)
6. ASTM C 270 (ref. 7) mortar as follows: Type N, S or M portland cement /lime mortar or Type S or M masonry cement mortar (note that neither Type N nor masonry cement mortar is permitted to be used in SDC D),
7. ASTM C 476 (ref. 8) grout,
8. Grade 60 reinforcing steel, reinforcement is centered in the masonry cell,
9. depth from grade to top of footing is 18 in. for 4- and 6-ft (457 mm for 1.2- and 1.8-m) high fences; 24 in. for 8-ft (610 mm for 2.4-m) high fences, and

**Table 1—Cantilevered Fences Subject to Lateral Loads up to 15 psf (0.71 kPa)<sup>A, B</sup>**

Exposed height, ft (m)	Vertical reinforcement required:	
	6 in. (152 mm) CMU	8 in. (203 mm) CMU
4 (1.2)	No. 4 at 88 in. o.c. (M#13 at 2,235 mm), or No. 5 at 120 in. o.c. (M#16 at 3,048 mm)	solid grouted and unreinforced, or No. 4 at 120 in. o.c. (M#13 at 3,048 mm)
6 (1.8)	No. 4 at 40 in. o.c. (M#13 at 1,016 mm), or No. 5 bars at 72 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,829 mm)	No. 4 at 56 in. o.c. (M#13 at 1,422 mm), or No. 5 at 96 in. o.c. (M#16 at 2,438 mm)
8 (2.4)	No. 4 at 24 in. o.c. <sup>C</sup> (M#13 at 610 mm), or No. 5 at 40 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,016 mm)	No. 4 at 32 in. o.c. (M#13 at 813 mm), or No. 5 bars at 48 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,219 mm)

<sup>A</sup> Corresponds to total wind load for 90 mph (144 km/h) 3-second gust for exposure B; or Site Class D,  $S_s$  ranges:  
 • 1.16 - 2.50 for 6-in. (152-mm) fences, and  
 • 0.67 - 1.92 for 8-in. (203-mm) fences.

<sup>B</sup> Design values assume a return corner at each fence end with a length at least equal to the wall height.

<sup>C</sup> 2003 IBC requires No. 4 at 16 in. o.c. (M#13 at 406 mm).

**Table 2—Cantilevered Fences Subject to Lateral Loads up to 20 psf (0.95 kPa)<sup>A, B</sup>**

Exposed height, ft (m)	Vertical reinforcement required:	
	6 in. (152 mm) CMU	8 in. (203 mm) CMU
4 (1.2)	No. 4 at 64 in. o.c. (M#13 at 1,626 mm), or No. 5 at 104 in. o.c. (M#16 at 2,642 mm)	solid grouted and unreinforced <sup>C</sup> , or No. 4 at 88 in. o.c. (M#13 at 2,235 mm)
6 (1.8)	No. 4 at 32 in. o.c. (M#13 at 813 mm), or No. 5 at 48 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,219 mm)	No. 4 at 40 in. o.c. (M#13 at 1,016 mm), or No. 5 at 72 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,829 mm)
8 (2.4)	No. 4 at 16 in. o.c. (M#13 at 406 mm), or No. 5 at 24 in. o.c. (M#16 at 610 mm)	No. 4 at 24 in. o.c. (M#13 at 610 mm), or No. 5 bars at 40 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,016 mm)

<sup>A</sup> Corresponds to total wind load for 110 mph (177 km/h) 3-second gust for exposure B, 90 mph (144 km/h) for exposure C; or Site Class D,  $S_s$  ranges:  
 • 2.22 - 3.23 for 6-in. (152-mm) fences, and  
 • 1.13 - 2.56 for 8-in. (203-mm) fences.

<sup>B</sup> Design values assume a return corner at each fence end with a length at least equal to the wall height.

<sup>C</sup> This option is not permitted under the 2003 IBC.

**Table 3—Cantilevered Fences Subject to Lateral Loads up to 25 psf (1.19 kPa)<sup>A, B</sup>**

Exposed height, ft (m)	Vertical reinforcement required:	
	6 in. (152 mm) CMU	8 in. (203 mm) CMU
4 (1.2)	No. 4 at 48 in. o.c. (M#13 at 1,219 mm), or No. 5 at 80 in. o.c. (M#16 at 2,032 mm)	solid grouted and unreinforced, or No. 4 at 72 in. o.c. (M#13 at 1,829 mm)
6 (1.8)	No. 4 at 24 in. o.c. (M#13 at 610 mm), or No. 5 at 40 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,016 mm)	No. 4 at 32 in. o.c. (M#13 at 813 mm), or No. 5 at 56 in. o.c. (M#16 at 1,422 mm)
8 (2.4)	No. 4 at 8 in. o.c. (M#13 at 203 mm), or No. 5 at 24 in. o.c. (M#16 at 610 mm)	No. 4 at 16 in. o.c. (M#13 at 406 mm), or No. 5 bars at 32 in. o.c. (M#16 at 813 mm)

<sup>A</sup> Corresponds to total wind load for 120 mph (193 km/h) 3-second gust for exposure B, 100 mph (160 km/h) for exposure C or 90 mph (144 km/h) for exposure D; or Site Class D,  $S_s$  ranges:  
 • 2.67 - 4.03 for 6-in. (152-mm) fences, and  
 • 1.49 - 3.13 for 8-in. (203-mm) fences.

<sup>B</sup> Design values assume a return corner at each fence end with a length at least equal to the wall height.

10. reinforcement requirements assume a return corner at each fence end with a length at least equal to the exposed height. Where fence ends do not include a return, increase the design lateral load on the end of the fence (for a length equal to the exposed height) by 5 psf (34.5 kPa).

## FOOTINGS

For cantilevered walls, the footing holds the wall in position and resists overturning and sliding due to lateral loads. Dowels typically extend up from the footing into the wall to transfer stresses and anchor the wall in place. Dowels should be at least equal in size and spacing to the vertical fence reinforcement. The required length of lap is determined according to the design procedure used and type of detail employed. For the design conditions listed here, the No. 4 (M#13) reinforcing bars require a minimum lap length of 15 in. (381 mm), and the No. 5 (M#16) bars require a minimum lap length of 21 in. (533 mm). Refer to TEK 12-6, *Splices, Development and Standard Hooks for Concrete Masonry* (ref. 9) for detailed information on lap splice requirements.

Footings over 24 in. (610 mm) wide require transverse reinforcement (see footnotes to Table 4). For all footings, the hook should be at the bottom of the footing (3 in. (76 mm) clearance to the subgrade) in order to develop the strength of the bar at the top of the footing.

The footing designs listed in Table 4 conform with *Building*

**Table 4—Footing Sizes for Cantilevered Fences<sup>A, B</sup>**

Wall height, ft (mm)	Footing size, in. (mm) for lateral load, w:		
	$w \leq 15$ psf	$15 < w \leq 20$	$20 < w \leq 25$
4 (1.2)	$12 \times 24^{C, F}$ (305 × 610)	$12 \times 24^{C, F}$ (305 × 610)	$12 \times 32^{C, G}$ (305 × 813)
6 (1.8)	$12 \times 30^{C, G}$ (305 × 762)	$12 \times 34^{D, G}$ (305 × 864)	$12 \times 38^{E, G}$ (305 × 965)
8 (2.4)	$12 \times 36^{D, G}$ (305 × 914)	$12 \times 42^{E, G}$ (305 × 1,067)	$12 \times 48^{E, H}$ (305 × 1,219)

<sup>A</sup> Based on ACI 318-05 (ref. 10). Specified compressive strength of concrete,  $f'_c = 2,500$  psi (17.2 MPa) and 2,000 psf (9.57 MPa) soil bearing minimum.

<sup>B</sup> All footings require transverse reinforcement in the top: No. 4 bars at 24 in. o.c. (M#13 at 610 mm).

<sup>C</sup> Footings over 24 to 32 in. (610 to 813 mm) wide require minimum transverse reinforcement in the bottom: No. 4 bars at 24 in. o.c. (M#13 at 610 mm).

<sup>D</sup> Footings 34 to 36 in. (864 to 914 mm) wide require minimum transverse reinforcement in the bottom: No. 5 bars at 24 in. o.c. (M#16 at 610 mm).

<sup>E</sup> Footings over 36 in. (914 mm) wide require minimum transverse reinforcement in the bottom: No. 5 bars at 12 in. o.c. (M#16 at 305 mm).

Recommended longitudinal shrinkage reinforcement:

<sup>F</sup> two No. 4 (M#13)

<sup>G</sup> four No. 4 (M#13), two top and two bottom

<sup>H</sup> six No. 4 (M#13), three top and three bottom

*Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete*, ACI 318 (ref. 10). Note that concrete for footings placed in soils containing high sulfates are subject to additional requirements (refs. 1, 2).

## SERPENTINE WALLS

Serpentine or “folded plate” wall designs add interesting and pleasing shapes to enhance the landscape. The returns or bends in these walls also provide additional lateral stability, allowing the walls to be built higher than if they were straight.

Serpentine and folded plate walls are designed using empirical design guidelines that historically have proven successful over many years of experience. The guidelines presented here are based on unreinforced concrete masonry for lateral loads up to 20 psf (0.95 kPa). See Table 2, footnote A for corresponding wind speeds and seismic design parameters.

Design guidelines are shown in Figure 2, and include:

- wall radius should not exceed twice the height,
- wall height should not exceed twice the width (or the depth of curvature, see Figure 2),
- wall height should not exceed fifteen times the wall thickness, and
- the free end(s) of the serpentine wall should have additional support such as a pilaster or a short-radius return.

A wooden template, cut to the specified radius, is helpful for periodically checking the curves for smoothness and uniformity. Refer to TEK 5-10A, *Concrete Masonry Radial Wall Details* (ref. 11) for detailed information on constructing curved walls using concrete masonry units.

## CONSTRUCTION

All materials (units, mortar, grout and reinforcement) should comply with applicable ASTM standards. Additional material requirements are listed under the section *Cantilevered Fence Structural Design*, above.

To control shrinkage cracking, it is recommended that horizontal reinforcement be utilized and that control joints be placed in accordance with local practice. In some cases, when sufficient horizontal reinforcement is incorporated, control joints may not be necessary. Horizontal reinforcement may be either joint reinforcement or bond beams. See TEK 10-1A, *Crack Control in Concrete Masonry Walls*, and TEK 10-2B, *Control Joints for Concrete Masonry Walls - Empirical Method* (refs. 12, 13) for detailed guidance.

In addition, horizontal reinforcement in the top course (or courses if joint reinforcement is used) is recommended to help tie the wall together. For fences, it is not structurally necessary to provide load transfer across control joints, although this can be accomplished by using methods described in TEK 10-2B if deemed necessary to help maintain the fence alignment.

Copings provide protection from water penetration and can also enhance the fence's appearance. Various materials such as concrete brick, cast stone, brick and natural stone are suitable copings for concrete masonry fences. Copings should project at least 1/2 in. (13 mm) beyond the wall face on both sides to provide a drip edge, which will help keep dripping water off the face of the fence. In cases where aesthetics are a primary concern, the use of integral water repellents in the masonry units and mortar can also help minimize the potential formation of efflorescence.




Figure 2—Serpentine Garden Walls

## REFERENCES

1. *2003 International Building Code*. International Code Council, 2003.
2. *2006 International Building Code*. International Code Council, 2006.
3. *Allowable Stress Design of Pier and Panel Highway Sound Barrier Walls*, NCMA TEK 14-15B. National Concrete Masonry Association, 2004.
4. *Design and Construction of Dry-Stack Masonry Walls*, TEK 14-22. National Concrete Masonry Association, 2003.
5. *Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures*, ASCE 7-02 and ASCE 7-05. American Society of Civil Engineers, 2002 and 2005.
6. *Standard Specification for Loadbearing Concrete Masonry Units*, ASTM C 90-01a and C 90-03. ASTM International, Inc., 2001 and 2003.
7. *Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry*, ASTM C 270-01a and C 270-04. ASTM International, Inc., 2001 and 2004.
8. *Standard Specification for Grout for Masonry*, ASTM C 476-01 and C 476-02. ASTM International, Inc., 2001 and 2002.
9. *Splices, Development and Standard Hooks for Concrete Masonry*, TEK 12-6. National Concrete Masonry Association, 2007.
10. *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*, ACI 318-02 and ACI 318-05. Detroit, MI: American Concrete Institute, 2002 and 2005.
11. *Concrete Masonry Radial Wall Details*, TEK 5-10A. National Concrete Masonry Association, 2006.
12. *Crack Control in Concrete Masonry Walls*, TEK 10-1A. National Concrete Masonry Association, 2005.
13. *Control Joints for Concrete Masonry Walls - Empirical Method*, TEK 10-2B. National Concrete Masonry Association, 2005.

NCMA and the companies disseminating this technical information disclaim any and all responsibility and liability for the accuracy and the application of the information contained in this publication.